

Social capital and open source software movement

Jitendra Parajuli

September 24, 2008

Overview

- Literature review
- Methodology
- Analysis
 - Background
 - Motivation of the contributors
 - Development of Linux
- Discussion
- Conclusion

Literature review

- Hanifan (1916)
 - Goodwill, fellowship, mutual sympathy, and social intercourse
 - Leadership
 - Uneven distribution
- Bourdieu (1980)
 - Social obligations (connections) convertible to economic capital
 - Credential that entitles credit in the short or long term
 - Durable obligations (gratitude, respect, friendship) for mutual and group recognition
 - Expenditure of time and energy
 - Economic value institutionalized in the form of privileged class and culture

- Coleman (1988)
 - Social structure and actions of actors within the structure
 - Not be easily interchangeable
 - Importance of information and flow mechanism
 - Role of human capital
 - Generates both economic and non-economic goods
 - Overcomes the problem of public good
- Putnam (1995)
 - Network of civic engagement that
 - * Fosters norms of reciprocity, trust, and reputation
 - * Collaborates
 - * Broadens view of collective benefits
 - Economic and political incentives reduce the effectiveness

- Fukuyama (1995/2000)
 - Based on a set of informal values or norms
 - Created by people spontaneously
 - Ethical values
 - Non-rational behavior
 - Uneven distribution
- Portes (1998)
 - Paradox of social capital
- Lin (2000/2001)
 - Flow of information
 - Social ties
 - Individual's credentials
 - Identity and recognition
 - Negative implication

- Harris & de Renzio (1997)
 - Missing link in the global economic development
- Fukuyama (2002)
 - Supports democratic processes and political institutions

Methodology

- Hypothesis
 - Open source software (OSS) movement exhibits the growth of social capital
- Case study
 - Initiatives
 - Motivations of the contributors
 - Linux development trend

Analysis

- Internet and the growth of virtual and informal, social communities
- Hacker culture
 - Time and money do not matter
 - Social ties matter more than life
- Developed by a community of contributors
- Free/open source codes
- Public good

Timeline

- 1985: Inception of GNU's Not Unix (GNU) project and establishment of Free Software Foundation (FSF) [Richard M. Stallman]
- 1991: Linus Torvalds informs about the free operating system
- 1997: Publication of *The Cathedral and the Bazaar* [Eric S. Raymond]
- 1998: Establishment of Open Source Initiative (OSI) and leaking of *Halloween Documents*
- 2004: Software Freedom Day (August 28)

Motivation of the contributors

- Knowledge workers are motivated as altruistic volunteers (Drucker 1999)
- Individual perspective (Sawyer & Guinan 1998)
- Reciprocity (Baird 1997)
- Scientific knowledge is gifted in the forms of ideas (Bergquist & Ljungberg 2001)
- Gift of code based on the kinship amity (Zeitlyn 2003)
- Reputation game based on giving rather than controlling (Raymond 2001)
- Craftmanship based on strict meritocracy (Raymond 2001)
- Extrinsic motivation is dominant (recognition, pecuniary gain, and personal benefits) (Hars & Ou 2001)
- Signaling incentives arising from career concern and ego gratification (Lerner & Tirole 2002)

Development of Linux

- Technical and sociological paradigm
- First version was released in September 1991 and had around 10,000 lines of code
- Contribution fitted to areas of expertise
- Self-selection and absence of contractual obligation
- Linus Torvalds assumes leadership
- Informal guiding rules and management structure
 - Standardization
 - Workflow coordination
 - Mutual adjustment
- At least one annual meeting

- Linux Foundation for development, protection, and cooperation
- Linux International for promotion
- Linux vendors and consultants such as Red Hat, Mandrake, and Suse
- Linux Journal and Linux Gazette
- Linux user groups and Installfests
- Online activities
- Business collaboration

Discussion

- Class culture
- Motivation
- Leadership and personal credential
- Functional structure (formal and informal)
- Information channel
- Human capital
- Self-sustained
- Public good

- Closed structure and social exclusion

Conclusion

- Social capital accumulates but in different ways
- Mode of information transfer and interest/motivation affect social capital
- Decline in one area can contribute to another area

Finally,

- Questions
- Comments
- Suggestions
- Feedbacks